

Minutes of Kirk Ireton Neighbourhood Plan 2015 Meeting held at Village Hall Wednesday September 16

1. Present: Joe Dugdale Sarah Burkinshaw, Jan Hall, Irene Dougan, Nick Delves, Gill Lockett, Nick Lockett, Rad Neville, Nigel Hallisey, Mac Maclennan, Niamh Goulder, Jeremy Butt, Dave Williams, Tony Short,

2. Apologies: Chris Marsh, John Blackwall, Lewis Hancock, Maurice Coey.

3. Agenda Alterations – Research on commercial developments and future business to sustain the village - Sarah B

4. Minutes of the last meeting 8 July minutes ; Approved with above alterations

5. Treasurers Report - £10 spent since the last report. Balance is £1782.

Village Hall hire needs to be clearly invoiced to Mac.

6. Core objectives and the way forward

Joe D commented: In the research on the history of the village it also identified empty spaces within the settlement boundary. Do not present these as development sites. Potential sites are only ever available if the owners so desire. The Open Meeting does not need to offer preferences. We are not bound to offer any development sites because the Council has us as a community which is not in their growth plan.

The village may yet decide on development sites and the NP would exert control over where or where not infill and outfall sites should be. These findings will emerge. Over the next 15 years there will be development. Any development would be windfall for the planners. Pressure for development will come from the within the village not from a developer.

We discussed contacting Mike Hase Planning Policy Manager DDDC to get a clearer idea of Planning intentions at District level. Rad to follow this up. See attached report on his phone call.

7. Report from Working Groups and

8. Presentation by Working Parties of collected data

Built Environment

A map of village building over the decades John T

Data on detached semi detached and terraced housing in village, John K

Data on change of use of buildings.

Historic view of village Tony S

Mike G is to report on outlying properties. Jan Hall to ask him

Joe D said we must use the data to generate questions for villagers to respond to which will help fine tune the questionnaire

Where might you be in 2030? People might/could develop land next to them. People might want to develop for older relatives.

What development would you like / where would you like development ?

Add the boundary line and look at the spaces inside it. The spaces include arable land/ brown field land. Gardens count . Woodland comes into a separate category.

What does the village want to protect ? E.g Village Field / Woodland / Spring sources

Important to convey that the Working Parties **don't have ideas of their own**

Amenities Group data

A map of amenities since 1980s / current Irene D

Village Shop survey Cynthia P/ Nick Delves

Village Field/ Village School Niamh G

List of clubs and organisations Gill L

Data on public transport Sue H

Natural Environmental Group data

A map of water courses Sarah B

A map of footpaths and ecological survey of trees and plants Dave W

Joe D reminded us to include heritage. Preserving local ancient features and buildings

(Who is mapping this ?)

Data on Village Hall – Mac Maclennan

This suggests the question *Would we allow development of a public meeting space/clinic/ shop*

Future Commercial and Business development which would help sustain the village - Sarah B – see Attached

Joe D suggests a question *Would you like to see land used for foresting, glamping, horse riding trails etc*

He reminded us to include improvement of eye-sores in the village. Q. *If we had had a policy would we have had this ?* (Who is doing this ? photos ?)

9. Public Meeting / Open Event

Rescheduled for Saturday 10th of October 10am - 1 pm

Equipment

A station for each Working Group with people to talk for each area

A flip chart for capturing comments and suggestions

Sticky notes for people to write questions

Big signs for each station BUILT ENVIRONMENT – AMENITIES – NATURAL ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES

Joe D - Useful to know who attends. Ask people to sign in Give them a number and put that number on their response. Then map the attendance at the Open Event so identify who hadn't attended. Options be shown to those who don't attend a public meeting by disseminating information widely, e- mail, print, visits to village groups, knocking on doors. Use a map to plot who has responded/not responded

Objectives

1. Broaden and deepen awareness
2. Collect comment and ideas for a questionnaire
3. Listen to people

Joe D offered to set up a meeting to help pull the display together with all 3 Working Groups

Think about how best do I display my work at event ? What questions should we ask ?

Meeting at Village Hall - Tuesday September 29 1pm – 3pm

10. AOB

Joe D - Meeting in Crich on "Neighbourhood Plans" October 1 1.30pm at Crich Tramway Museum

Nick D closed the meeting at 9.05pm

Attachments

Mike Hase phone call with Radford Neville - 17th Sept 2015.

Local Plan :

- Was withdrawn last year. They are in the process of updating the evidence base. There will be consultation before Christmas hopefully - but they aren't planning to come out to the towns and villages as they did last time because the consultation is happening at a "high level". They intend to submit a draft plan in Spring 2016. Submit it for examination in Autumn 2016. Hopefully it will be examined before Christmas 2016. They hope to adopt the plan in early 2017.

Present situation :

- He said that they have had some consultancy done (as per the press release mentioned at last night's meeting) which recommended that they need to build 6,400 houses between 2013 and 2033. Some of these houses have already been built and some further planning permissions have already been granted. But they aren't sure they can achieve these numbers. So they are now considering what their new planning strategy should be in order to try and achieve these numbers. Which means that they are reconsidering everything. He acknowledged that in the past Kirk Ireton had not been part of their growth plan and that Kirk Ireton had been considered to not require development. This may well

continue to be the case, but he couldn't guarantee it - because they had to work out how to supply 6,400 houses. In addition he acknowledged that in the past Derbyshire Dales had concentrated development in the towns and a few selected villages like Brailsford. But again, he couldn't guarantee this would continue to be the case while everything was being looked at.

Settlement Boundary Map :

- They still don't know if they will be including a settlement boundary map in the next local plan. They are considering the pros and cons of it in relation to their need to deliver enough new houses.
- The last map we saw hasn't yet been taken forward into policy.
- However, the last map is still the most up-to-date they have. It hasn't been changed in any way. The boundary is still the same.

Call out for land for development :

- He acknowledged that this had happened. But said he was unable to disclose if any land had been put forward in Kirk Ireton as they were still considering all the land in Derbyshire Dales that has been put forward. So while the process is ongoing that information remains confidential.

Going forward :

- He said that as our plan develops we would need to contact and discuss our ideas with Derbyshire Dales as the two plans should not be contradictory. (I wasn't sure if this was true, but I assume Joe will be able to advise us.) For example he said that if the Local Plan does not have a Settlement Boundary Map then he didn't feel our Neighbourhood Plan could have one either. Personally I think this sounds rather odd - if we can only agree with the Local Plan then what is the point of what we are doing?

5 year Rolling Housing Supply :

- He said that if DD can't demonstrate that they have a 5 year supply then it's more likely that a planning application that they have turned down will win an appeal. However, he said that just because there isn't a 5 year supply doesn't mean a field in the middle of nowhere will be given planning permission. A site in a town would always be more likely to find favour.
- Technically DD should be able to be asked on any given day what their 5 year housing supply is. So it should be constantly updated on a daily basis. However, in reality, they review the 5 year supply once a year. This is what the government asks them to do. The 5 year supply is only really focused on when a planning application that has been refused goes to appeal.

School Capacity :

- He said that even if a school was at full capacity a developer could be asked to pay for the school to be increased in size as part of the granting of planning permission. So that wasn't necessarily a barrier.

Sarah Burkinshaw on sustainability /commercial possibilities in village